Roberto Arlt and Anarchist Modernism

Glen S. Close

Wesleyan University

Prepared for delivery at the 1998 meeting of the Latin American Studies Association, The Palmer House Hilton, Chicago, Illinois, September 24-26, 1998 In the course of my research over the past year, I've made two fairly unsettling discoveries. David Weir's *Anarchy & Culture: The Aesthetic Politics of Modernism* and Arthur Redding's *Raids on Human Consciousness: Writing, Anarchism, and Violence* are titles which seized my attention not only because of their explosive connotations, but also because of their startling proximity to my own research. Both Weir, a comparativist, and Redding, a professor of English and American Studies, isolate the figure of the anarchist as a key to the political unconscious of pre-modernist and modernist narrative fiction. Having dealt in my writing with many of the novels which they address, I was at once taken aback at the sudden publicity of a corpus I had had to map for myself, and relieved to find my readings largely confirmed by critics better qualified to deal with the likes of Fyodor Dostoevsky, Henry James, and Joseph Conrad. Weir's and Redding's idea of a significant bond between anarchism and modernism was not, however, new, since another comparativist, Carol Vanderveer Hamilton, had articulated it years before in her paper "Anarchy as Modernist Aesthetic" (published 1995).

The intuition that modernist writers somehow transposed or assimilated anarchist tactics of spectacular violence into their aesthetic practices is supported by the existence of a significant number of modernist and protomodernist novels, including Dostoevsky's Demons, James's The Princess Casamassima, and Conrad's The Secret Agent, which deal thematically with the conspiratorial terrorism associated with Michael Bakunin. Even as these texts operate ostensibly to contain the chaotic and destructive social energies encoded by the term "anarchy" in the late 19th century, they struggle against an attractive void in signification, a space beyond the ethical and representational limits of realist narrative discipline, which Hamilton designates "the anarchist sublime". Further confirmation of a significant resonance is provided by the various theorists of modernism who have emphasized the movement's fundamentally critical orientation toward bourgeois capitalist culture and the persistent engagement of modernist and especially avant-garde writers with anarchist ideas. Matei Calinescu speaks of modernism's "consuming negative passion, its outright rejection of bourgeois modernity" through "the most diverse means, ranging from rebellion, anarchy, and apocalypticism to aristocratic self-exile" (42). Such "radical antibourgeois attitudes" were of course simultaneously elicited and negated by the dictates of a rational culture which consigned artists to an ever more paradoxical or, in Herbert Marcuse's term, "affirmative" autonomy. Andreas Huyssen, much cited by Redding, locates the peak of the impact of anarchism on European writers and artists at the turn of the century, when the historical avant-garde was first devising its radical strategies of confrontation. Huyssen echoes Calinescu in his explanation of this *rapprochement*.

The attraction of artists and intellectuals to anarchism at the time can be attributed to two major factors: artists and anarchists alike rejected bourgeois society and its stagnating cultural conservatism, and both anarchists and left-leaning bohemians fought the economic and technological determinism and scientism of Second International Marxism, which they saw as the theoretical and practical mirror image of the bourgeois world. (5)

Despite what Huyssen perceives as the historical failure of the modernist "vision of the redemption of modern life through culture" (210), he insists that we must look beyond any ultimately capitulation to the mandates of social and industrial modernization and beyond modernism's largely depoliticized, canonical status in order to recover it as a genuine, although historically limited, "adversary culture".

Hamilton, Weir, and Redding offer distinct takes on what Huyssen calls "modernism's nihilistic and anarchic strain", yet the literary corpus which they describe is largely consistent,

not least so in its exclusion of nearly all things Hispanic. While the question of the position of Spanish or Latin American writers within a comparativist modernist canon is certainly of interest, I propose to address here a more specific point, that of the relevance of anarchism to novels of the Argentine Roberto Arlt (1900-42), a writer whose reputation has grown in the decades since his death to the point where I find him identified by Ricardo Piglia in a 1996 book-jacket blurb as "the greatest Argentine writer of the 20th century"<sup>1</sup>. Regardless of Arlt's rank, or for instance, one's personal take on the Borges/Arlt dichotomy which Piglia has also done much to establish, it is now clear that Arlt's fiction, and particularly his first three novels, must be recognized as fundamentally constitutive of at least a certain modern and postmodern *porteño* literature. His legacy has been secured not only by Piglia's faithful homage, but also by that of David Viñas, Juan Carlos Onetti, Julio Cortázar, etc.

I am interested in the concept of literary anarchism not only as a largely unexamined commonplace in Arlt criticism, but also as a manifestation of certain problematic and essentially modern presumptions regarding the very role of the artist, and particularly of the writer. These presumptions are well articulated by Mario Vargas Llosa, for example, whose politics are sufficiently publicized and controversial to lend his declarations a heightened poignancy. In a statement to which he has subsequently adhered, Vargas Llosa declared in 1967 that "literature is fire, [...] it means nonconformity and rebellion, [...] the *raison d'être* of a writer is protest, disagreement and criticism [...] Literature is a form of permanent insurrection" (72). While no one would mistake the Peruvian ex-presidential candidate for an anarchist, I find it suggestive that he characterizes writers by vocation as "the conscious or unconscious subversives of society" and "the irredeemable insurgents of the world". These statements must be considered not only in the context of the familiar Marxist-inspired debates of the 1960s regarding the political role of the artist, but also of the Romantic tradition which differentiated the artist as a genius, as the anti-bourgeois, as a satanic rebel.

Although famously flexible, the chronology of high European modernism coincides closely, as Hamilton and others have noted, with the period of greatest public controversy over the anarchist movement in Europe and the Americas. Whether or not we consider Rubén Darío's Modernismo as a precocious American correlate to European Modernism, as does Calinescu, it seems clear that American prose fiction was slightly later in producing works akin to those of Kafka, Proust or Woolf. Without ignoring obvious differences in the periodization of national literary histories, I find it tempting to approach Arlt, a notoriously anti-nationalist writer, as a modernist in an international context, and as a novelist subject to many of the same anxieties and dissatisfactions voiced by the Russian, French, English, or Spanish modernists. Roberto Mariani, one of Arlt's companions on the literary left in Buenos Aires, summarized these complaints when he spoke in 1929 of the "repugnance of liberalism" in the following terms: "Injustice endures on the face of the earth, and liberal politics, liberal capitalism, the liberal bourgeoisie, liberal democracy, and liberal friendship not only have failed in their mission of bringing about justice and love, but depend for their very existence precisely on injustice, robbery, lies, and cynicism." (quoted in Zas, 24). This is a familiar perception among modernists, and one which inspired many of the most prominent ultimately to endorse fascist or Stalinist political programs in this period.

Regardless of the famously checkered political careers of individual modernists, the theorists I have cited propose that anarchism remained the ideological option most consistently

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See Borré. This and all subsequent translations from Spanish are mine unless otherwise indicated

compatible with aggravated modernist individualism. Clearly, even novelists as orderly as Dostoevsky, James and Conrad were fascinated by violent anarchists and seem to have been compelled to confront in their representations of them personal demons of destruction and chaos. In peripherally modern Buenos Aires, Roberto Arlt published Los siete locos, his most successful work, in 1929, on the very eve of the first decisive disruption of the Argentine liberal democratic order (the Uriburu coup of 1930), and it is this novel, together with its 1931 sequel, Los lanzallamas, which contains his most urgent and provocative fiction. I restrict my comments to these and one additional text, *El juguete rabioso*, of 1926. This sequence of novels, linked by the representation of criminal and revolutionary conspiracies, enacts anarchy on a textual level by radically disabling narrative authority and subjecting the reader to an effect of indeterminacy in narrative development. While retaining tropes of traditional and popular novelistic genres such as the picaresque and the criminal *folletin* or serial novel, these texts break with prevailing modes of narrative fiction in their fracturing of monologic narrative coherence and their insistence on the discursive construction of ideological reality. Ultimately, I understand these texts to partake of a dynamic by which the novel, as the previously dominant commodified narrative form of bourgeois society, ceaselessly evokes and entertains deviance only to recontain it in the interests of psychological and social integrity.

By speaking of these novels in relation to an anarchist aesthetics of modernism, I do not intend to suggest that Roberto Arlt was an anarchist in any coherent political sense. The consensus with regard his politics is that he was an independent leftist, an anti-fascist, whose approach to Marxism was belated and problematic. According to one journalist acquaintance<sup>2</sup>, his political ideals were spectacularly chaotic, as indicated by the irreconcilable diversity of publications in which he collaborated, ranging from the nationalist weekly Patria, of the Liga Patriótica Argentina, to Ultra Izquierda and Bandera Roja, organ of the Argentine communist party. Significantly, however, Arlt's biographer Raul Larra informs us that Arlt gained his first serious contact with literature in an anarchist library in Flores (24). The Argentine anarchist movement which in the first decade of this century had ranked, according to historian David Rock, as "among the largest and most influential in the world" (78), was in decline in the 1920s, following intensified police and army repression of striking workers in the Semana Trágica of January, 1919 and the Patagonia campaign of 1921-2. However, as Arlt's daughter, Mirta, suggests in a study coauthored with Omar Borré, it was the immediate impact of anarchist atentados in retaliation for the 1927 execution of Sacco and Vanzetti which particularly dazzled Arlt and inspired the characters of Los siete locos<sup>3</sup>. Also, I would point out that one of Arlt's most gravely serious newspaper columns in the Aguafuertes porteñas series is that in which he describes the judicial execution of anarchist hero Severino Di Giovanni by the Argentine military government in 1931 ("He visto morir", February 2, 1931).

In criticism of Arlt's writing, allusions to his anarchistic sensibilities are not unusual. Jaime Giordano speaks of "a preexistentialist anarchism in Arlt" (61), Stasys Gostautas of "his anarchic individualism" (48) and Naomi Lindstrom of "his discursive anarchism" (49). In Arlt's novels I read not only the abolition of the regime of alienated labor and the forthright

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Edmundo Guibourg of *Crítica*, cited by Borré, 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>"Algunos con visos funambulescos, llegan a deslumbrar a Arlt por el coraje, el idealismo y la inclemencia de sus actos. Infundían terror y arriesgaban sus vidas. Arlt los contempla azorado. Le inspiran personajes de la novela que está escribiendo: *Los siete locos*: éstos son de signo contrario, pues no se proyectan hacia fuera sino hacia dentro." (27).

denunciation of a range of bourgeois liberal institutions including marriage, corporations, the military, and the state, but also, on a textual level, the dispersion of centralized narrative authority and the defiance of standards of literary and linguistic propriety. What I find perhaps most remarkable, however, are the ways in which these texts dramatize their own production of both subversive energy and commercial value. Noé Jitrik, undoubtedly one of Arlt's most insightful commentators, has placed great emphasis on the economics of *El juguete rabioso*, in which he sees money as the "engine of writing". Jitrik identifies the conjunction of money with sex as "the principal constructive code" of the novel, one which alludes to its function as the true, unacknowledged "eros" of capitalist society. It is my contention that especially in Arlt's third novel, *Los lanzallamas*, just as the value of printed money is problematized by its origin in anarchist acts of falsification, the truth value of the narrative, in the commodified form of the printed book, is similarly compromised.

For the benefit of readers who don't have Arlt's plots altogether fresh in their memory, I'd like to recall them briefly. In *El juguete rabioso*, which was composed between 1920 and 1924 and reedited before its eventual publication in 1926, Arlt presents the adventures of Silvio Drodman Astier, an adolescent of humble means and grand ambitions. The novel is composed of four relatively independent chapters. Chapter one relates fourteen year-old Silvio's founding of a juvenile gang to live out fantasies of criminal glory imbibed from *folletines* of banditry, but chapter two relegates him to humiliating employment by rapacious booksellers, whose shop he eventually attempts to burn down. Chapter three brings further discouragement when Silvio's inventive initiatives backfire and result in his dismissal from a mechanical apprenticeship at the Escuela Militar de Aviación, precipitating a futile suicide attempt. Only in the concluding chapter does Silvio accomplish a classically picaresque change of fortune when he is released from his toils as an itinerant paper vendor by a reward for betraying a friend's criminal scheme. Many of Silvio's experiences are recognizable as Arlt's own: the family's destitution, the corrupting love of popular fiction, the lack of formal education contrasted with an autodidactic passion for invention, contempt for the petty merchants who exploit his labor, etc. The novel reads generally as a parable of perverted social mobility, in that the rewards unattainable by legitimate labor or ingenuity, through commercial or military channels, are finally granted to the protagonist by a member of the upper class (the engineer Vitri) in exchange for the betraval of a member of his own class.

Although Silvio's rebelliousness does not attain an explicitly political dimension, his impulses mark him as a destructive non-conformist and a budding threat to the social order. In the novel's first paragraphs, Silvio obtains his literary initiation by renting installments of adventure novels from an Andalusian shoemaker who subscribes to them and whose regional origin and trade fit the classic profile of the anarchist immigrant to Argentina. A recurrent, even dominant, issue in the novel turns out to be that of access not only to monetary but also to cultural capital, symbolically concentrated in the space of the library. Silvio's gang perpetrates its greatest crime when it breaks into a school library (a repository of State assets) to plunder the books, selecting or discarding them on the basis of their ready resale value. In this clearly Cervantean scene, Silvio also evaluates several volumes as worthy of his own attention, notably, scientific texts containing technical information adaptable to antisocial ends, and poetry of Baudelaire. This is Arlt's clearest dramatization of *illegitimate access* to cultural capital, which is accompanied by a constant awareness of the monetary value associated with the book as exchangeable commodity. As a thief, a bookstore clerk, an idler, and, all to briefly, a cadet, Silvio is shown to stake his desires on books, whether stealing them, selling them, coveting

them, or consuming them. All his ambitions are conditioned by access to these tokens of cultural capital.

Without dallying too long on Silvio's travails, I should cite the moment at which his reading habits come under scrutiny by officers at the military academy. In a previous scene at home, Silvio has been shown deliberating between a truculent romance novel, an electrotechnical manual, and Nietzsche's Antichrist. At the academy, he attempts to impress the officers with his readings in everything from cinematics to explosives, yet when he brings up literature, his proprietary boasts backfire. Describing his library, he offers, "I have the best authors: Baudelaire, Dostoievski, Baroja", and the officer's suspicions are immediately aroused: "Che, what have we got here, an anarchist?" (69)<sup>4</sup> Silvio denies the charge, but his military apprenticeship is soon aborted, and the same suspicion is voiced elsewhere in the novel by other figures of authority. In the pact which closes the novel, the engineer Vitri receives Silvio in his library (the cultural reservoir) to thank him for denouncing a plan to burgle his safe (the monetary reservoir), and Silvio's reward seems to consist almost as much in this access as in the passage to Neuquén which Vitri grants as recompense. By betraying the grotesque El Rengo, a lumpen figure associated with the indignities of the popular market, Silvio wins not only material advantage, but also a euphoric sense of moral autonomy (predicated, ironically, on the defense of a law in which he does not respect) and of disassociation from his own miserable class. As critic Oscar Masotta first noted, Arlt's notion of liberation through betrayal and transgression offers clear parallels with the work of Jean Genet, and I would add that this denouement also points to a crucial aspect of the anarchism assimilated by modernists.

While the mainstream of the anarchist movement gravitated toward strategies of solidarity as advocated by the collectivist Peter Kropotkin, and toward anarcho-syndicalist tactics which posed the general strike as the ultimate weapon in the struggle against capitalism, novelists such as Arlt remained transfixed by anarchism's most extremely individualistic and terrorist tendencies. Clara E. Lida, a historian of Spanish anarchist culture, has clearly distinguished between the staunch optimism of popular fiction by committed militants and the consistent negativism of those writers whom she classifies as anarquizantes and who, in her view, "think almost exclusively in nihilist terms: they emphasize the destructive potential of the proletariat, but they ignore the premises of socialism, which are oriented toward the creation of a new world" (379). The tortured individualism of Arlt's fiction was in keeping not only with the Romantic tradition, but also with the extreme egotism pioneered by Max Stirner in the anarchist classic, The Ego and its Own, (1845) and later taken up by Nietzsche. (Arlt had been raised, incidentally, in a house well stocked with Nietzsche's writings, since his mother is reported to have met the philospher once and to have remained infatuated with him all her life, convinced that they shared a spiritual bond.) Whatever the specific provenance of Arlt's complaints against God. State, and Capital, all of his readers will undoubtedly recall the fierce negativism of his fictions, especially after the juvenile delinquency of *El juguete rabioso* graduates into the mature political conspiracy of the two subsequent novels.

In *Los siete locos*, Augusto Remo Erdosain, a bill collector, finds himself anonymously denounced for embezzlement and faced by his employers' demand for restitution of the pilfered profits. Since he has squandered the money, Erdosain appeals for help to Ergueta, a gambler-turned-religious-prophet, and then to the Astrologer, who seeks Erdosain's collaboration in the organization of a secret revolutionary society. Erdosain is saved when another of the Astrologer's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>This and all subsequent Arlt references are to the first volume of *Obra completa* unless otherwise indicated.

associates, nicknamed the Melancholy Pimp, offers to pay off the debt. The same evening Erdosain returns home to find his wife absconding in the company of an air force captain, and pleads pitifully to retain her, to no avail. Shortly thereafter, his wife's dreaded cousin, Barsut, arrives and slaps Erdosain for submitting to cuckoldry. This added affront goads Erdosain to conceive of abducting Barsut and extorting his inheritance to fund the Astrologer's secret society. Once undertaken, Barsut's detention at the Astrologer's villa in Temperley provides the novel's principal dramatic situation. Following numerous and lengthy discussions of the revolutionary scheme, including a congress of conspirators, and also of Erdosain's personal invention schemes, the novel ends with a simulated murder: the Astrologer, having won Barsut's confidence in secret, stages his execution to appease Erdosain's morbid criminal curiosity.

What Los siete locos rehearses, Los lanzallamas tragically enacts. Here again, discussions and interior monologues predominate over action, but the major events are memorable. The Melancholy Pimp is shot by rival gangsters, Erdosain and the Astrologer visit a family of anarchist counterfeiters, Erdosain uses his new wealth to procure his landlady's daughter, and the Astrologer strikes an alliance with Hipólita, a cunning ex-prostitute. In a catastrophic final sequence, Barsut kills Bromberg, the Astrologer's assistant, provoking the immolation of the Temperley villa and the flight of its residents. Uninclined to accompany the prophet of destruction into exile, Erdosain remains in Buenos Aires and succumbs to his anguish, murdering his mistress and taking refuge in the house of an anonymous acquaintance, to whom he confesses his history of infamy before taking his own life on a train travelling from Flores to Moreno. This scene of confession, evoked repeatedly throughout both Los siete locos and Los lanzallamas, provides the foundation of a narrative edifice as unstable as the characters which inhabit it. In a column published just after the release of Los siete locos, Arlt specified the historical reason of this instability, explaining the madness of his characters as "the disorientation which, following the great war, has revolutionized the consciousness of men, leaving them empty of ideals and hopes" (II, 597).

In keeping with this disorientation, the structure of Arlt's novels reflects an erosion of confidence in the progressive, positivist premises of the dominant realist and naturalist modes of fiction. Sprawling, skewed, and at times labyrinthine, these texts fracture narrative authority with their relentless qualification, superimposition, contradiction, and inconsistency. Lurching from scene to scene, Arlt's reader is eventually permitted to identify the narrator as the unnamed acquaintance to whom Erdosain finally confesses. Yet the identification of this narrator character as occasionally intervening *cronista* and *comentador* is never confirmed, and further confusion results from the introduction of the author's own voice in the "Author's Words" which precede Los lanzallamas and in footnotes which relate the timing of plot developments to real historical events. And despite the internal narrator's accounting of his sources of information, which include Erdosain's confession, his diary, an interview with his wife Elsa, and police reports and press accounts of Erdosain's suicide, he provides other information which could not possibly have been derived from those sources. Twice, moreover, he pauses to clarify that rather than transcribing the accounts of his witness directly, he has taken the liberty of reconfiguring them as dialogue in order to "the direct sensation of the events" (401), and the elaborate, if erratic, framework of pseudo-documentation is entirely undermined by a commentator's note which reminds us that what we are in reading is, in fact, a novel and not a chronicle of real events (454). The paratextual presence of the footnote, a largely academic and documentary device, also of course draws our attention to the problematic boundaries of this fiction.

Besides failing to account for the source of much of what he relays, Arlt's ambiguously

defined narrator also falters when he promises us material he never delivers (an extract from Barsut's diary), and when he speculates in the third chapter of *Los lanzallamas* about the nature of Erdosain's terrible secret, as if unaware that Erdosain confessed it to Hipólita toward the end of *Los siete locos*. Thus, there are discrepancies within the narrator's own account, as well as between the statements of characters, who are shown to lie and deceive each other in order to gain advantage. In this mishmash of fictions, we are insistently reminded that what we are reading is somebody's retelling of what somebody else thought, said, or did. In one particularly layered passage, the narrator recounts what Elsa told him that she confessed to the mother superior about what Erdosain told her that he read in the diary of another character.

Just as the novels are cobbled together in some less than reliable fashion out of heterogeneous remnants of discourse, so too are the characters shown to fashion themselves after diverse textual models. In El juguete rabioso, Silvio lived out fantasies derived from the adventure novels of the Andalusian shoemaker, and Arlt's later characters are likewise the products of their readings. Scriptural precedent inspires Ergueta to marry the Hipólita, who herself chose prostitution as a preferable alternative to domestic servitude after reading about it in books. The Astrologer boasts of an extensive library, a broad familiarity with European literature, and a rhetorical genius rivaled only by Hipólita's own. Hipólita relates that her dissatisfaction with men stems from her childhood fixation on the adventurous heroes of the novels of Carolina Invernizzio, yet when she escapes with the Astrologer at the end of Los lanzallamas, we understand that he has fulfilled precisely that role. The suspicion that the reality of these characters is somehow scripted by forces greater than them is most clearly voiced by Erdosain and his perceived double and tormenter, Barsut, both of whose perceptions are profoundly shaped by cinema. In these characters, exposure to he simulated marvels of the cinema has produced an ontological dissatisfaction, and both yearn for magical Hollywood transformation of their unbearably mundane lives. Erdosain fantasizes that a lonely millionairesse will spot him from her limousine and whisk him off on a yacht to Brasil; Barsut confesses to having lived his entire adult life with the sensation of acting for an invisible camera, and passes the time planning his conquest of Greta Garbo.

Arlt's characters construct literary and cinematic realities for themselves in part in resistance to the ugly urban, industrial landscape in which the narrative situates them and from which nature has been virtually abolished. In these novels, descriptions of environments are expressionist, cubist, and futurist, relying on geometric imagery to connote an incessant delimitation and administration of space with cement and metal. In truth, however, the habitat of these characters is as much the emerging modern mediascape as it is the landscape or topography of Buenos Aires. One of the most provocative turns in the plot is the catastrophic dissolution of the conspiracy and its transformation into first a sensational series of newspaper articles and then a Hollywood movie in which Barsut will reenact events at Temperley and fulfil his fantasy of stardom by doing what he's been doing all along: playing himself. Simulation, I would contend, is a primary function of the Temperley conspiracy. Madmen such as Barsut (labeled a "simulator of truths") and Bromberg ("a type of simulating delinquent") are drawn to the Astrologer because he boldly voices their contempt for rationally and legally constructed reality. Los siete locos concludes with the simulation of Barsut's murder by Bromberg, and Los lanzallamas with actual murders which are to be reenacted immediately as cinematic entertainment. Throughout the novels, the erratically omniscient narrator frequently alerts us to the simulations being perpetrated by certain characters upon others, most strikingly in the chapter of Los siete locos entitled "La farsa", in which the Astrologer introduces an army officer to the other conspirators

as a major, then reveals him to be a sergeant as a demonstration of the potential power of deception as a political tool. A footnote attributed to the commentator subsequently contradicts this, stating the officer to be not a pseudo-major, but rather a pseudo-sergeant and actual major, alerting the reader to the fundamental unreliability of the Astrologer's claims.

In these novels truth founders in an unresolved competition of fictions. Erdosain's murder of his lover and his subsequent suicide convert him into a media celebrity, but not even these acts, which he understands as his ultimate existential affirmations ("being through crime"), are unscripted. At the end of *Los siete locos*, in a somewhat oneiric episode in a cafe, Erdosain witnesses the suicide of a fugitive who the police identify as an embezzler wanted for killing his mistress with a pistol shot in the ear. Erdosain recognizes this story from newspaper accounts, and it becomes, at the end of *Los lanzallamas*, his own. Arlt's narrative descends into the very site of the textual production, the basement where the daily paper is printed, to show the transformation of Erdosain's crimes, prefigured by news, back into news, which is to say into a narrative consumable by a newly consolidated mass reading public. Having worked as a crime writer for the pioneering tabloid *Crítica*, Arlt was quite familiar with the mechanics of commerce in sensational violence, and this also relates to his constant preoccupation with the economic status of the printed text. This visit to the newspaper press also recalls, however, the previous visit to the press of the anarchists, which is underground not only in the literal sense (concealed beneath a trap door hidden by furniture) but also in the metaphoric, political sense.

As the organizing conspirator in Arlt's plot, the Astrologer is well known for advocating a fusion of the successful elements of all major contemporary revolutionary movements, and for serving up an ideological "Russian salad" designed to appeal to malcontents of all stripes, "Bolshevik, catholic, fascist, atheist, militarist", etc. He emulates Mussolini as well as Lenin and proposes to adapt the industrial and military technology developed by capitalism (particularly chemical and biological weapons) to ends more ruthless and tyrannical than those of the capitalists themselves. He speculates on the application of cinematic technology to the elaboration of propaganda and revolutionary myth, directly anticipating Nazi innovations in that field. Like the Fascists and the Bolsheviks, he has learned important lessons from that current of anarchism, flowing from Bakunin, which embraced destruction and terror and which trusts in the revolutionary initiative of a conspiratorial elite and in the explosive potential, not of the proletariat, but of the lumpenproletariat, including criminals. The Astrologer recruits not workers but crackpots, delinquents and idlers, or as Ergueta puts it, "wretches, murderers, swindlers, all those low-life types shoved down to the bottom with no way out" (Lindstrom's translation, 16). Understanding verbal deception to be the essence of his power, the Astrologer "manages" the madmen by representing his political program in the mirror of their own treacherous desires. His written instructions for the organization of revolutionary cells reveal the direct source of his vision of the "supermodern" secret society to the Revolutionary Catechism published in 1869 under Bakunin's name but known to have been composed by his remarkable disciple, the murderous nihilist and revolutionary con artist Sergei Nechaev (the model, apparently, for the character of Stepan Trofimovich Verkhovensky in Dostoevsy's Demons).

The Astrologer seems to believe in nothing but power, not even astrology ("it's all lies", he admits), but the pre-Fascist tendency of his conspiracy is confirmed by his final murder of the Jew Bromberg<sup>5</sup>. He thus dramatizes the ultimate assimilation of anarchist tactics of spectacular violence, including both the conspiratorial terrorism of Bakunin and the revolutionary

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>This reading is Josefina Ludmer's.

syndicalism of Georges Sorel, by authoritarian political movements. In keeping with the narrative insistence on simulation, almost nothing is ultimately generated by the Temperley conspiracy other than a few documents: the Melancholy Pimp's budget for a brothel proposed to finance revolutionary activities, the Astrologer's organizational instructions, Erdosain's plans for a nerve gas factory, and, indirectly, newspaper accounts of the conspiracy's aftermath, all of which are introduced into narrative evidence by the compiler. The only revolutionary crime in which they actively collaborate is the circulation of counterfeit currency which is printed by the actual anarchists. Elaborating on Jitrik's economic reading of *El juguete rabioso*, Piglia has concentrated on the circulation of falsified money as the primary figure of symbolic exchange in these later novels, and I find his comments pertinent:

Money --Arlt could say-- is the best novelist in the world: it legislates an economy of passions and organizes --in the mystery of its origin-- the interest of a story in which the arbitrariness of exchanges, debts, and transferals is the only enigma to decipher. In this sense, for Arlt money is a machine producing fictions, or better yet, it is fiction itself because it always de-realizes the world: first because in order to have it one must invent, falsify, swindle, "make fiction" and at the same time because becoming rich is always the illusion [...] which is constructed on the basis of all that which can be had *in* money (25).

In my reading, Arlt's nuanced equation of fiction and falsified money hinges on the anarchists' involvement. If counterfeit bills are the tokens of fiction, the entire system of intratextual circulation betrays clandestine, anarchic origins.

Despite the brevity of their appearance in Los lanzallamas, the anarchists of Dock Sur preside in some significant sense over the operation of conspiracy. They provide the forged letter (ascribed to the Ministry of War) which lures Barsut to Temperley. They provide the ten thousand counterfeit pesos with which the Astrologer repays Barsut his extorted capital and which, when detected, result in his detention by the police. Finally, they print pamphlets which link Temperley to an invisible international revolutionary network. In sharp contrast to the middle class "madmen", who are portrayed largely as frustrated monsters, the working class anarchists are represented as *productive* in their subversive activities. After much narrative attention to Erdosain's sterile and perverse middle-class marriage, the anarchists appear as a cohesive family with a child, and the connotation of fertility is reinforced by a sign on their door which reads "Se benden güebos y gayinas de raza". This flagrant misspelling might remind us of Arlt's own orthographic ineptitude or, more generally of his reputation as a technically "bad" writer whose Spanish was learned not at home or in school but in the street and from cheap translations of foreign novels. One additional distinction of the anarchists is the fact that their spokesman is retrospectively identified by Erdosain as none other than di Giovanni, whose reallife execution Arlt witnessed while working on Los lanzallamas. Di Giovanni is the only identifiable historical character in the novels.

Like that of the anarchists, Arlt's literary activities are carried out under the sign of illegitimacy, outside of the elevated humanist sanctum of letters traditionally governed in Argentina by a class writers he ridiculed as "white glove authors". In the preface to *Los lanzallamas*, Arlt declared his independence from their critical standards, staking his reputation directly on his productive capacity and his popular readership. As the son of poor non-immigrants (a Tyrolese mother and a Prussian father), and as a professional journalist, he represents a break with the model of the writer associated with the Argentine generation of 1880:

that of the university-educated professional or bureaucrat who wrote in his spare time<sup>6</sup>. In the wake of the Soviet revolution, questions of the propagandistic and commercial value of literature were quite current in Arlt's leftist literary milieu, and in my view, his novels inscribe both propagandistic and commercial operations in the space of the two presses, figuring the subterranean drives of his at once subversive and mercenary narrative. Ultimately cynical as to the prospects of meaningful political revolution and unswayed by the Utopian determinism of his leftist companions, Arlt ventured along with Pío Baroja and Louis-Ferdinand Céline into a neighboring zone of intellectual and cultural modernity, the one dubbed "Nihilism" by Bakunin's friend Ivan Turgenev in his 1861 novel *Fathers and Sons*.

In view of all this, it is understandable that in our purportedly post-ideological age, the fantasies of Arlt's madmen should loom large in our memories when the strident social realism of his contemporaries has long since lost its bloom. I perceive Arlt as a pivotal Latin American modernist and as an engineer of an ever-expanding international network of conspiratorial texts. As Hamilton, Weir, and Redding have documented, the set of major modern novels dealing with anarchist conspiracies is substantial, and I would link these texts, including Arlt's, to what Frederic Jameson has identified as the conspiratorial ideologeme of advanced capitalist culture. By his account, globalization of economic relations and consequent diffusion of political power lends conspiratorial narrative a renewed currency in postmodernity, as an epistemological figure of concealed and "potentially infinite" world system dynamics (1992, 9). Remarkably precocious in their representational strategies, Arlt's novels, like those of Baroja and Céline, display a number of the aesthetic features Jameson associates with this ideologeme: syntactic indirection, teleological failure, the interpenetration of high art and mass cultural conventions, and the double coding of political agents.

Confronted with Arlt, traditional national literary histories also suffer a failure of narrative continuity. Fernando Alegría, for example, states simply that as a "disciple of Russian anarchism, Arlt never fit into either the old Argentine literature nor the new (that of the Vanguard)" (173-4). Broader-minded cultural critics, such as Beatriz Sarlo, have interpreted Arlt's "foreignness" in the context of mass immigration and of Argentina's definitive incorporation into the global economy, which produced a radical modernization of cultural styles in the metropolitan Buenos Aires of the 1920's and 1930's. In a 1995 study, Domingo-Luis Hernández adopted a transnational perspective to suggest that Arlt's representation of modernity ("errant, asymmetrical, mediated, multi-faceted, alienating") links him to novelists as contemporary and foreign as Anthony Burgess, Thomas Bernhard, Peter Handke, and Antonio Tabucci. I cite these remarks because they reinforce my own notion of Arlt as a writer who demands to be read not only alongside Onetti, Cortázar, Viñas, etc., but also as a practitioner of the modern conspiracy novel perhaps founded by Dostoevsky.

In novels plotted around plots, it is scarcely surprising that historical developments in the structure of the novel form should be vividly evident. Theories expounded by Peter Brooks, for example, cast considerable light on the mechanics of the texts I have discussed. For Brooks, the classic nineteenth-century novel, with its origins in Romanticism, prospered as a model of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>"1880 representa en Argentina no sólo un corte histórico con el establecimiento definitivo del Estado, la unificación política y jurídica, la modernización y la entrada al mercado mundial. También representa un corte literario, porque surge un grupo de escritores que forma algo así como la coalición cultural del nuevo Estado. No son literarios profesionales, sino los primeros escritores universitarios y a la vez funcionarios estatales en la cultura argentina. La coalición cultural y literaria de 1880 es, por lo tanto, una coalición estatal, quizás la primera." (Ludmer, 9).

coherent and meaningful individual subjectivity in the era of disabled master narratives. Even when modernists challenged the capacity of narrative for the retention of true coherence or the conveyance of total meaning, they retained full faith in the intellectual and aesthetic necessity of emplotment. Linking novelistic narration to deviance, Brooks points up a dialectical weaving between the conjuring of chaos and the binding of desires which animated even the tidiest nineteenth century "Balzacian" adventure. As novelists like Balzac, Hugo, Sue, Dickens, and Dostoevsky gravitate toward the criminal underworld of the metropolis, "[t]he novel tends to maintain its plots between exploration of the maximal, most daring social deviance on the one hand, and the counter-discipline of the police on the other" (158). In the scheme of modernism, in the texts of Arlt and others, anarchism imposes itself as a prime instance of maximal political deviance.

Brooks acknowledges the historical affinity between novelistic and criminal plotting in the following passage:

I would suggest that in modern literature this sense of plot [that of a "secret plan to accomplish a hostile or illegal purpose", a "scheme or conspiracy"] nearly always attaches itself to the others: the organizing line of plot is more often than not some scheme or machination, a concerted plan for the accomplishment of some purpose which goes against the ostensible and dominant legalities of the fictional world, the realization of a blocked and resisted desire. (12)

Brooks touches on the example of Balzac's master-conspirator, Vautrin, yet the function he ascribes to the character ("explicitly to theorize desire and the logical consequences of its full enactment") obviously persists throughout the conspiratorial corpus. Projecting Brooks' insights into the modernist period, I would reiterate the unique fascination of conspiratorial and terrorist anarchism for novelists such as Conrad, whose breach of integral narrative totalization in *The Secret Agent* centers on the figure of the Professor, his "Perfect Anarchist", who is as "lawless" as André Gide once proclaimed the novel to be. Clearly, Arlt is seduced by lawlessness, and it is significant that despite the intervention of the police and the media at the conclusion of *Los lanzallamas*, Hipólita and the Astrologer escape abroad, where they continue to thrive, presumably, in their asexual union, as purveyors of fiction and conjurers of desire.

From another perspective, Arlt's position with respect to the conspiratorial corpus is also liminal. The intuition of a new epistemological order in his texts, detectable able in their unusual emphasis on simulation, marks the waning of the high modern conspiratorial novel whose features I have described elsewhere (137-9). Henceforth, the conspiratorial subject introduced by Dostovevsky and Turgenev mutates into something less stable and more ideologically diffuse, in keeping with the encroachment of post-modernity. Historically speaking, nowhere did anarchism survive the anti-democratic convulsions of the early twentieth century intact. Militant anarchist dissidence was virtually extinguished by 1922 in the Soviet Union, and succumbed shortly thereafter to fascism in its Western European strongholds. Even earlier, measures toward the exclusion and deportation of anarchists were undertaken in the United States (in 1903 and 1919) and in Argentina (in 1902 and 1910), then the two most popular destinations of European immigrants to the Americas. As the Spanish Civil War established, even a mass movement predicated on anti-authoritarianism and individual sovereignty is ill able to resist the belligerence of forces governed by totalitarian discipline and backed by a fully operational military-industrial complex (whether Soviet or Nazi). Conversely, it is precisely the massification and the corporatization of twentieth-century political life which ensures the continued relevance of anarchist critique.

Theorists from Theodor Adorno to Jean Baudrillard have perceived a global shift in the operation of ideology around the time of the second world war, and an accompanying shift in the order of representation is suggested by changes in the conception of conspiratorial fictions in the post-war era. Profound transformations in the conception of political power take place in societies whose ideological management depends increasingly on the transmission of images through electronic media, on irresistible techno-military might, and on the invisibility of dissent. As Jameson observed in 1994 with respect to the aesthetic debates of WWII-era German Marxists,

the fundamental difference between our own situation and that of the thirties is the emergence in full-blown and definitive form of that ultimate transformation of late monopoly capitalism variously known as the société de consommation or as post-industrial society. [...] [Remaining] relevant in the present context, however, is the Frankfurt School's premise of a 'total system', which expressed Adorno's and Horkheimer's sense of the increasingly closed organization of the world into a seamless web of media technology, multinational corporations, and international bureaucratic control. Whatever the theoretical merits of the idea of the 'total system' - and it would seem to me that where it does not lead out of politics altogether, it encourages the revival of an anarchist opposition to Marxism itself, and can also be used as a justification for terrorism - we may now at least agree with Adorno that in the cultural realm, the all-pervasiveness of the system, with its 'culture-' or (Enzensberger's variant) its "consciousness-industry', makes for an unpropitious climate for any of the older, simpler forms of oppositional art [...] The system has a power to co-opt and defuse even the most potentially dangerous forms of political art by transforming them into cultural commodities (208)

This totalization and global integration of power, whose economic and technological features Gilles Deleuze has summarized in his "Postdata on Societies of Control", does of course continue to provoke ever more spectacular feats of terrorism and a revival of anarchist culture which heralds the eclipse of more organized modes of resistance. Meanwhile Arlt, once rebuked by a representative of the Communist party for his attention to "mythological procedures", continues to attract readers as the conspiratorial ideologeme becomes ever more compelling in a culture of paranoia.

Although their profile is not what it once was, one can still detect anarchists marauding on the margins of postmodern novel, for example in Thomas Pynchon's *The Crying of Lot 49* (1966), with its references to the activities of the Flores Magón brothers and the Mexican *CIA* (*Conjuración de Insurgentes Anarquistas*). In Argentina, two texts which seem to owe a particular debt to *Los siete locos* and *Los lanzallamas* are Piglia's 1992 *La ciudad ausente* and Marcelo Cohen's 1995 *El testamento de O'Jaral*. Piglia conjures an end-of-the-millenium Buenos Aires and centers his paranoid tale around "the machine" a device supposedly conceived by the eccentric Macedonio Fernández in collaboration with a pseudo-Hungarian inventor christened, suggestively enough, Emil Russo. Macedonio's machine, which somehow contains the mind of his dead wife, Elena, functions as a generator of narratives whose clandestine circulation has aroused the suspicion of officials determined to control "the principle of reality". Piglia inscribes the technological conditions of the present (surveillance, electronic simulacra) while tending a firm political opposition between a hyper-technological state and a diffuse but resolutely anarchist (and even modernist) community of resistance. Shifting between various, unprioritized levels of narration, *La ciudad ausente* follows an investigation of the machine by a detective/reporter, but this inquiry is repeatedly interrupted by the possibly paranoid discourse of other charcters and by the possibly apocryphal narratives of the machine. When the novel closes with a monologue by the machine, in Elena's voice, intratextual subjectivity is left in thorough disarray, in compliance with Russo's mandate to resist centralized narrative authority (the police).

Piglia honors Macedonio, a political and aesthetic anarchist, not only as the inventor of his machine, but also by likening him to the historical anarchist Rajzanov, a would-be assassin killed by his bomb and lauded by Camus in *The Rebel*. Piglia's rebels enjoy convenient subway access from their refuge in the sub-basements of the Mercado del Plata. Genuine and fraudulent copies of the machine's narratives, originating here, in other subway stations, and in clandestine suburban workshops proliferate in downtown bookstores and bars, telling tales increasingly troublesome to Piglia's projected (or perhaps remembered) Argentine police state: the story of the first Argentine anarchist, flashbacks to the execution of Indians and dissidents, alternative histories of the Falklands war (officially, Argentina prevailed). Even when interred by censors in the basement of a museum in the final section of the novel. Macedonio's machine continues to remember and narrate, and it seems clear that so too will the clandestine counterinformation groups, whether in urban enclaves or in the isolation of the pampa. As the title suggests, La ciudad ausente constructs utopian spaces, most outstanding among them being Finnegan's island, a settlement of Irish, English, Russian and other anarchist refugees in the Paraná. A document headed "The Island", describes a society in radical linguistic flux, where a three-century old edition of Finnegan's Wake is revered as sacred scripture. Alongside Joyce and Fernández, Piglia also enshrines Arlt not only by exhibiting artifacts of Erdosain in his literary museum, but also by identifying his narrator as an employee of *El Mundo*, Arlt's paper.

Cohen's *El testamento de O'Jaral* follows in the line of *La ciudad ausente* by reimagining the possibility of extra-legal and anti-capitalist printing within a virtual "total system" of the indeterminate but near future. Like Pynchon or William Burroughs in their own "paranoid melodramas"7, Cohen envisions a post-state society wherein the mechanisms of "concentrationary democracy" cloak the real operation of power by capitalist consortiums and the division of humanity into groups defined by economic function (technomagnates, consumers, and "social indefinites"). In a territory resembling Argentina but never named as such, an environment of "virtuality" prevails: ubiquitous public video screens broadcast the political messages of the government and the official opposition as they debate an agenda set by the consortiums or perhaps directly by an even greater and more ineffable authority referred to as "Them, the Ones who are Above Everything". On the eve of a referendum to decide whether the country will annex itself to the so-called Panatlantic Group (a decision which has already been made at a higher level), the crisis which threatens the ritual exercise of "concentrationary democracy" is not revolutionary violence, long since eradicated, but massive indifference of the consumer-voters and the perplexingly playful virtual interference of a group of stubbornly unresistant subversives.

Cohen's protagonist, like Arlt's and those of the majority of the conspiracy novels which I have studied, qualifies as a fringe intellectual. In his refuge in an abandoned train station in the remote pampa, O'Jaral translates the globally triumphant mass-media melodramas of an Englishlanguage writer named Mulligany for a clandestine pirate publisher who dreams of administering aesthetic shocks to his slavish mass audience in order to goad at least a handful of consumers

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Paul Fussel's term.

into renouncing the satisfaction of predictable narrative itineraries and submitting to "lack of direction". In his spare time, O'Jaral toils to absorb and synthesize the most essential knowledge accumulated by humanity in order to prepare himself to receive an "illumination" or "discovery" of a way of thinking outside of the paradigms which sustain the total system. He is distracted from this mission when agents of the consortiums oblige him to collaborate in their pursuit of el Galgo Ravinkel, O'Jaral's half-brother, veteran conspirator, and suspected leader of the gangs of young dissidents ("la chiquilinada anarcoide") who entertain themselves by blemishing the complexion of "mass-media reality" with creatively falsified images and random transmissions of their own riotous fantasies. Ironically, it is not resistance but the dissolute nature of this resistance which worries the technomagnates, who understand the need for tension to sustain both market growth and the credibility of the democratic simulacrum. Further Arltian complications in Cohen's text include the location of Ravinkel's lair in a building disguised as a printing plant. Another dissident, less successful than Ravinkel, proposes to combat "Those Who Have the Word" and who impose the "One Dominant Story" of the total cultural system by assembling an archive of all non-commercial writing, thus articulating a network of alternative human possibilities.

Writing in collaboration with Félix Guattari, Deleuze has championed the writings of Antonin Artaud and William Burroughs as among the most potent antidotes to totalizing or, in their terms, paranoiac and fascisizing culture. In fully commodified societies, they argue,

Every writer is a sellout. The only literature is that which places an explosive device in its package, fabricating a counterfeit currency, causing the superego and its form of expression to explode, as well as the market value of its form of content. (134)

What I have attempted to locate in the Argentine novel of the first decades of this century is precisely this smoldering explosive charge, this counterfeiting of currency in the basement of modernity. Although all market-sensitive must comply to some extent with the conservative, indeed self-policing, mandate of the bourgeois novel genre, I believe that in their picaresque/conspiratorial agitation and their displacements of urban subjects, Arlt's texts enact genuine resistance to ideological regime of bourgeois capitalism. Rather than aborting the subversive project, Erdosain's suicide advances it as effectively as Barsut's Hollywood career or the escape of Hipólita and the Astrologer<sup>8</sup>.

The Hispanic novel adopts the modern conspiratorial device in a period defined by irreversible transformations not only of the economics and politics of literature, but also of the entire ideological system of the nineteenth century. The best novelistic treatments of anarchism play out the dangers inherent in the liberation imagined by philosophers such as Stirner and Nietzsche, exploring the nihilistic outlands first mapped by Nechaev and later colonized by the likes of Lenin, Mussolini, and Hitler. Despite their continued dominance in the capitalist literary market and their status as official Socialist cultural policy, realistic and naturalistic modes of representation no longer satisfied writers who experienced the treacherous transparency of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Deleuze and Guattari's criteria for "schizorevolutionary" expression, recall Astrologer's own discursive adventure: "the value of art is no longer measured except in terms of the decoded and deterritorialized flows that it causes to circulate beneath a signifier reduced to silence, beneath the conditions of identity of the parameters, across a structure reduced to impotence; a writing with pneumatic, electronic, or gaseous indifferent supports, and that appears all the more difficult and intellectual to intellectuals as it is accessible to the infirm, the illiterate, and the schizos, embracing all that flows and counterflows, the gushings of mercy and pity knowing nothing of meanings and aims (the Artaud experiment, the Burroughs experiment)." (370)

"truth effect" in political as well as literary discourse. Even as it ceded ideological precedence to the emerging discourses of the mass media, "literature" was obliged by them to regard the yawning "dearth of reality" of which André Breton spoke and which literature itself imposed. The critiques of Arlt and his collaborators preserve a measure of freshness and anarchistic force in so far as they reject the ideal assurances of liberal culture. Like the chimerical dawn of anarchist utopia, the Astrologer's hoax foretells that in twentieth-century politics, as in twentieth-century fiction, language will slip its mooring in truthful signification to drift on murderous tides of desire.

I am thinking, finally, of a 1929 essay in which Walter Benjamin praised the Surrealists (before their conversion to Communism) as the first agitators since Bakunin to provide Europe with a "radical concept of freedom" and "to liquidate the sclerotic liberal-moral-humanistic idea of freedom" (78). His account of the origins of this concept is equally germane here:

Between 1865 and 1875 a number of great anarchists, without knowing one another, worked on their infernal machines. And the astonishing thing is that independently of one another they set its clock at exactly the same hour, and forty years later in Western Europe the writings of Dostoevsky, Rimbaud, and Lautrémont exploded at the same time. One might, to be more exact, select from Dostoevsky's entire work the one episode that was actually not published until about 1915, 'Stavrogin's Confession' from *The Possessed* (76).

Arlt rewrites Stavrogin's confession as Erdosain's, just as he rewrites Verkhovensky's phantom conspiracy as the Astrologer's "fictitious revolutionary body", and in the process he writes Latin America into modernity. Benjamin celebrates the Surrealists' adoption of "the cult of evil as a political device" and as the antidote to the sentimentalism of bourgeois culture, and in this sense the objectives of the Surrealists were quite close to Arlt's own. Inevitably, the metaphysical question of evil haunts all anarchist discourse as well as all modern discourse concerning anarchy. In a rational humanist philosophical universe, the antagonism of anarchy and order codifies the anxieties and exhilaration of free individual will, political and otherwise, and these are the terms over which the novelists of conspiracy wrangle. Like Benjamin's cultivators of evil, but with quite different aesthetic strategies, Arlt redeems the share accursed (in George Bataille's terminology) by bourgeois law and order and enacts the signification of the unsignified: lumpenreality. Dostoevsky, Arlt, Breton, et al. toil as disruptive auditors of unbalanced bourgeois moral budgets, interdicting production while they pore over secret loss ledgers labeled "vice", "crime" and "revolution".

## Works Cited

- Alegría, Fernando. *Nueva historia de la novela hispanoamericana*. Hanover, N.H.: Ediciones del Norte, 1986.
- Arlt, Mirta and Omar Borré. Para leer a Roberto Arlt. Buenos Aires: Torres Agüero, 1984.
- Arlt, Roberto. Obra completa. Buenos Aires: Planeta-Carlos Lohlé, 1991. 3 vols.

---. The Seven Madmen. Trans. Naomi Lindstrom. Boston: Godine, 1984.

- Benjamin, Walter. "Surrealism: The Last Snapshot of the European Intelligentsia." Trans. Edmund Jephcott and Kingsley Shorter. *Marxist Literary Theory: A Reader*. Ed. Terry Eagleton and Drew Milne. Cambridge: Blackwell, 1996. 70-80.
- Borré, Omar. Roberto Arlt y la crítica (1926-1990) Estudio, cronología, bibliografía. Buenos Aires: Ediciones América Libre, 1996.
- Brooks, Peter. *Reading for the Plot: Design and Intention in Narrative*. Cambridge: Harvard UP, 1984.
- Calinescu, Matei. Five Faces of Modernity: Modernism, Avant-Garde, Decadence, Kitsch, Postmodernism. Durham, N.C.: Duke UP, 1987.
- Close, Glen S. Anarchist Conspiracy in the Modern Hispanic Novel: Pío Baroja and Roberto Arlt. Diss. Yale University, 1996.
- Cohen, Marcelo. El testamento de O'Jaral. Buenos Aires: Alianza Editorial, 1995.
- Deleuze, Gilles and Félix Guattari. Anti-Oedipus: Capitalism and Schizophrenia. Trans. Robert Hurley, Mark Seem, and Helen R. Lane. Minneapolis: U of Minnesota P, 1990.
- Fussel, Paul. The Great War and Modern Memory. New York: Oxford UP, 1977.
- Giordano, Jaime. "Roberto Arlt: escritura expresionista." *Revista de Estudios Hispánicos*. 19.1: 55-70.
- Gostautas, Stasys. Buenos Aires y Arlt: (Dostoievsky, Martínez Estrada, Escalabrini Ortiz). Madrid: Ínsula, 1977.
- Hamilton, Carol Vanderveer. "Anarchy as Modernist Aesthetic." *The Turn of the Century/ Le tournant du siècle: Modernism and Modernity in Literature and the Arts/Le modernisme et la modernité dans la littérature et les arts.* Ed. Christian Berg, Frank Durieux, and Geert Lernout. New York: Walter de Gruyter, 1995. 77-87.

Hernández, Domingo-Luis. Roberto Arlt: La sombra pronunciada. Barcelona: Montesinos, 1995.

- Huyssen, Andreas. After the Great Divide. Modernism, Mass Culture, Postmodernism. Bloomington: Indiana UP, 1986.
- Jameson, Frederic. *The Geopolitical Aesthetic: Cinema and Space in the World System*. Bloomington: Indiana UP, 1992.
- ---. "Reflections in Conclusion." Aesthetics and Politics. New York: Verso, 1994.
- Jitrik, Noé. "Entre el dinero y el ser: Lectura de *El juguete rabioso*, de Roberto Arlt." *La memoria compartida*. México: Universidad Veracruzana, 1982.
- Larra, Raul. Roberto Arlt, El Torturado. Buenos Aires: Anfora, 1973.
- Lida, Clara E. "Literatura anarquista y anarquismo literario." Nueva Revista de Filología Hispana. 19.2: 360-381.
- Lindstrom, Naomi. "La elaboración de un discurso contracultural en las *Aguafuertes Porteñas* de Roberto Arlt." *Hispanic Journal* 2.1: 47-55.
- Ludmer, Josefina. "Introducción." *Juvenilia y otras páginas argentinas*. By Miguel Cané. Buenos Aires: Espasa Calpe, 1993. 9-37.
- Marcuse, Herbert. "The Affirmative Character of Culture." *Negations: Essays in Critical Theory*. Trans. Jeremy J. Shapiro. Boston: Beacon Press, 1968.
- Masotta, Oscar. Sexo y traición en Roberto Arlt. Buenos Aires: CEAL, 1982.
- Piglia, Ricardo. La ciudad ausente. Buenos Aires: Sudamericana, 1993.
- ---. "La ficción del dinero." Hispamérica. 3.7: 25-28.
- Redding, Arthur. *Raids on Human Consciousness: Writing, Anarchism, and Violence*. Columbia: U of South Carolina P, 1998.
- Rock, David. Politics in Argentina, 1890-1930. The Rise and Fall of Radicalism. New York: Cambridge UP, 1975.
- Vargas Llosa, Mario. "Literature is Fire." *Making Waves*. Ed. and Trans. John King. New York: Farrar, Straus, Giroux, 1996.
- Weir, David. Anarchy & Culture: The Aesthetic Politics of Modernism. Amherst: U of Massachusetts P, 1997.
- Zas, Lubrano. *Nacimiento, Vida, Muerte y Resurrección del Grupo de Boedo.*. Buenos Aires: Editorial Rescate, 1988.